

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

oul.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/050,825 | 01/18/2002 | Leslie Jones | 39872-177641 | 6945 |
| 75 | 90 08/14/2003 | | | |
| Andrew C. Aitken VENABLE Post Office Box 34385 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | BISHOP, STEVEN C | |
| Washington, DC 20043-9998 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3722 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003 | 7 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--------|--|--|--|
| + | • | 10/050,825 | JONES ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Steven C. Bishop | 3722 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| THE M/ - Extensi after SI If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1% (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. brief of reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replained for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) to cause the application to become | y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication BARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | on. | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ C | claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application | ١. | | | | | |
| 48 | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) 🗌 C | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ C | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) 🗆 C | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) 🗆 C | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| , | ne specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| ' | ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. | | | | | |
| 1 | der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) | All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1 | . Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | |
| | . Certified copies of the priority document | | • | | | | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a list | ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a |)). | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 Ac | knowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 U.S | C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application | tion). | | | |
| | ☐ The translation of the foreign language pre- knowledgment is made of a claim for domes | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s | 3) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Informa | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trac PTO-326 (Rev. | | ction Summary | Part of Paper No. 7 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/050,825

Art Unit: 3722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2-4, 8, 9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 4, there appear to be some words missing, as that line is not clear. In claim 5, line 2, it appears that "positioned" should be -- positions --. Claim 8 appears to be incomplete. Claim 9 is in dependent form, but the claim number from which it depends is missing.. In claim 13, line 1, there is no antecedent basis for "said pilot drill bit. It appears that claim 13 should depend from claim 6, and in the art rejections below, this has been assumed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the European reference (Haussmann et al.).
- 5. Claims 1-8 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by the Japanese reference (Masabumi).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,825

Art Unit: 3722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Haussmann et al. or Masabumi.

Neither Haussmann et al. nor Masabumi disclose providing patches for the hole drilled. The Examiner, however, takes official notice that patching drywall by providing a patch to fit a hole is a well known method, and it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide circular patches to patch the holes drilled by the hole saw of either Haussmann et al. or Masabumi.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/050,825

Art Unit: 3722

9. Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information. M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven C. Bishop whose telephone number is (703) 308-1731. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and alternate Fridays from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication

Drawing Corrections/Draftsman

(703) 308-6789 or (888) 786-0101

Assignment Branch

(703) 308-9723

Certificates of Correction

(703) 305-8309

(703) 305-8404/8335

Petitions/Special Programs

(703) 305-9285

Terminal Disclaimers

(703) 305-8408

PCT Help Desk

(703) 305-3257

If the information desired is not provided above, or a number has been changed, please call the general information help line below.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,825

Art Unit: 3722

Information Help line Internet PTO-Home Page

1-800-786-9199 http: <u>www.uspto.gov</u>

> Steven C. Bishop Primary Examiner Art Unit 3722

scb August 8, 2003